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Merit will be evaluated in the three areas that are considered for tenure and promotion: teaching, research, and service. Within each area, there are three levels of performance/productivity: A, B, and C. Examples of activities that qualify in each level are below.

Activities at the C level are some of the valued but expected activities for tenured and tenure-track professors, although not all faculty will necessarily do all the activities in C in a given year. C level activities generally do not count for the purposes of receiving merit pay. Only faculty who are rated A or B in at least one of teaching, research, and service will qualify for merit pay.

Procedure: the merit committee will evaluate eligible faculty on performance in teaching, research and service as appropriate and place each faculty in category A, B, or C for each of the three areas. These ranking will determine merit for the preceding year(s). The classifications will then be forwarded to the chair, who may take other factors into account, including a faculty member’s assigned activities. At the discretion of the chair, the rating of a faculty member may be changed.

In years in which there is no merit payment, the performance of that year will be taken into account as well when evaluating performance when there is merit money to distribute in following years.

Teaching
A. Awards for excellence in teaching/mentoring
   National or international grant for teaching-related activities (e.g., summer school) (first year of funding)
   Distinguished teaching as determined by student evaluation scores consistently above college/department norms
   Serving as Chair of MA and PhD committees at a number significantly above average for the department
B. Development of teaching-related software, video, CD-ROMs
   Very positive peer evaluations of teaching
   Teaching service courses (LIN2000, LIN3010, LIN3201, LIN3460), or other courses requiring significant extra effort
   Serving as chair of MA and PhD committees, or undergraduate honors theses
   State or university grant for teaching-related activities (first year of funding)
   Director or coordinator of study abroad programs
   Teaching of classes abroad, leading workshops abroad
   Designing and teaching new courses
   Significant time commitment to the organization of lectures, exhibits, or other teaching-/culturally-related events
   Significant time commitment to K-12 or community outreach
   External evaluations of Ph.D. students at other universities if involves significant time commitment during the thesis
C. Guest lecturing in other classes
   Serving as committee member for MA and PhD committees
   Outreach or organization of educational activities
Mentoring of undergraduate students
Mentoring students during the publication process
Mentoring/Supervising visiting scholars and exchange students
External evaluations of Ph.D. students at other universities if involves only reading the finished product

Service
A. Excellence in graduate coordinating, undergraduate coordinating, or other significant administrative duties
   National or international grant for service-related activities (e.g. conference) (first year of funding)
   Significant contribution to organizing a professional conference
   Editor of a national or international journal
   Major officer in a national or international organization
   Service on national advisory panel

B. Promotion of student extra-curricular activities (e.g., sponsoring student groups, etc.)
   Significant quantity of reviewing of journal manuscripts, book manuscripts or proposals, chapter manuscripts, grants, conference abstracts
   External tenure reviews of others’ files
   Committees in department, college, and university (with high workload)
   Course coordinating and TA supervision (without teaching/service reduction compensation)
   Organizing workshops, symposia, colloquia, or sessions at conferences

C. Committees in department, college, and university (light to moderate workload)

Research
A. Scholarly book (theoretical, descriptive, applied) with a recognized publishing house
   National or international research grant or fellowship (first year of funding)
   2 sole authored substantial articles in refereed and selective national or international outlets (not conference proceedings)
   4 or more substantial single- or co-authored articles in a combination of refereed and selective national or international outlets: journals, edited books, or conference proceedings (but no more than two in conference proceedings)
   Book prize or other professional award or distinction

B. 3 substantial single- or co-authored articles in a combination of refereed and selective national or international outlets: journals, edited books, or conference proceedings (but no more than two in conference proceedings)
   Approved but not funded national or international research grant
   Funded state or university research grant (first year of funding)
   Invited plenary/keynote address
   Substantive review article
   Editor of a collection
   Book length translation

C. 2 articles in refereed and selective national or international outlets: journals, edited books or conference proceedings (sole or co-authored where appropriate)
   Other published items (notes, book notices, squibs)
Presenting paper(s) at national/international meetings
Invited talks
Active participation in local, national, or international meetings, symposia, conferences, or workshops (other than giving a prepared paper)
Submitted grant proposal

The lists here are not considered to be exhaustive, and can also include any other activity judged to be worthy by the Merit Committee and/or the Chair. Equivalent criteria may be included in all cases.

Faculty are reminded to pay attention to the following when they complete their AARs:
Be sure to list external reviews for T/P as these are meritorious for Service.
Provide a justification if you suspect your teaching falls into the “courses requiring significant extra effort” clause in Teaching B.
When you list your reviewing activities, please state how many papers you reviewed. Some faculty do, some don’t. It would help the Merit Committee to assess the work load entailed if numbers were provided.
When you list service on editorial boards/advisory panels or officers in organizations, add a short statement to describe the service involved, whether it entailed considerable work load (in the form of reviewing papers or other administrative duties), and an estimate of the number of hours involved. Service on editorial boards/advisory panels can be quite labor-intensive or not.
The committee uses only research published in a given March 16th-March 15th year to count towards merit for that year.

In 2017, the merit committee implemented checklists in Teaching, Service, and Research which must be completed in addition to the Annual Activities Reports.